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- Listing of the 500 most powerful Computers in the World
- Yardstick: $R_{\text{max}}$ from Linpack
  \[ Ax = b, \text{ dense problem} \]
- Updated twice a year:
  ISC‘xy in Germany, June xy
  SC‘xy in USA, November xy
- All data available from [www.top500.org](http://www.top500.org)
Department of Energy Office of Science (unclassified) Facility

- 4000 users, 500 projects
- From 48 states; 65% from universities
- 1,400 refereed publications per year

Systems designed for science

- 1.3 PF Hopper system (Cray XE6)
  - 4th Fastest computer in US, 8th in world
- .5 PF in Franklin (Cray XT4), Carver (IBM iDataplex) and other clusters
NERSC Systems

Large-Scale Computing Systems

Franklin (NERSC-5): Cray XT4
- 9,532 compute nodes; 38,128 cores
- ~25 Tflop/s on applications; 356 Tflop/s peak

Hopper (NERSC-6): Cray XE6
- 6,384 compute nodes, 153,216 cores
- 120 Tflop/s on applications; 1.3 Pflop/s peak

Clusters
140 Tflops total
Carver
- IBM iDataplex cluster
PDSF (HEP/NP)
- ~1K core cluster
Magellan Cloud testbed
- IBM iDataplex cluster
GenePool (JGI)
- ~5K core cluster

NERSC Global Filesystem (NGF)
Uses IBM’s GPFS
- 1.5 PB capacity
- 5.5 GB/s of bandwidth

HPSS Archival Storage
- 40 PB capacity
- 4 Tape libraries
- 150 TB disk cache

Analytics
Euclid
(512 GB shared memory)
Dirac GPU testbed (48 nodes)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Computer</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Rmax</th>
<th>Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RIKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science</td>
<td>Fujitsu</td>
<td>K Computer SPARC64 VIIIfx 2.0GHz, Tofu Interconnect</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>548,352</td>
<td>8.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>National SuperComputer Center in Tianjin</td>
<td>NUDT</td>
<td>Tianhe-1A NUDT TH MPP, Xeon 6C, NVidia, FT-1000 8C</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>186,368</td>
<td>2.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</td>
<td>Cray</td>
<td>Jaguar Cray XT5, HC 2.6 GHz</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>224,162</td>
<td>1.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>National Supercomputing Centre in Shenzhen</td>
<td>Dawning</td>
<td>Nebulae TC3600 Blade, Intel X5650, NVidia Tesla C2050 GPU</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>120,640</td>
<td>1.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GSIC, Tokyo Institute of Technology</td>
<td>NEC/HP</td>
<td>TSUBAME-2 HP ProLiant, Xeon 6C, NVidia, Linux/Windows</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>73,278</td>
<td>1.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DOE/NNSA/LANL/SNL</td>
<td>Cray</td>
<td>Cielo Cray XE6, 2.4 GHz</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>142,272</td>
<td>1.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NASA/Ames Research Center/NAS</td>
<td>SGI</td>
<td>Pleiades SGI Altix ICE 8200EX/8400EX</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>111,104</td>
<td>1.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DOE/SC/LBNL/NERSC</td>
<td>Cray</td>
<td>Hopper Cray XE6, 6C 2.1 GHz</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>153,408</td>
<td>1.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique (CEA)</td>
<td>Bull</td>
<td>Tera 100 Bull bulx super-node S6010/S6030</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>138.368</td>
<td>1.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DOE/NNSA/LANL</td>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>Roadrunner BladeCenter QS22/LS21</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>122,400</td>
<td>1.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TOP500 Releases


MPP
Cluster
SMP
Constellations
Single Processor
Others
Ecosystem for HPC

From the NRC Report on “The Future of Supercomputing”:

• Platforms, software, institutions, applications, and people who solve supercomputing applications can be thought of collectively as an ecosystem

• Research investment in HPC should be informed by the ecosystem point of view - progress must come on a broad front of interrelated technologies, rather than in the form of individual breakthroughs.

Pond ecosystem image from http://www.tpwd.state.tx.us/expltx/ft/txwild/pond.htm
Supercomputing Ecosystem (1988)

Cold War and Big Oil spending in the 1980s

Powerful Vector Supercomputers

20 years of Fortran applications base in physics codes and third party apps
Supercomputing Ecosystem (2006)

Commercial Off The Shelf technology (COTS)

“Clusters” 12 years of legacy MPI applications base
Supercomputing Ecosystem (2006)

Commercial Off The Shelf technology (COTS)

“Clusters” 12 years of legacy MPI applications base
What is the Ecosystem for Exascale?

Want to avoid two paradigm disruptions on road to Exa-scale
Programming With MPI

• MPI is a library
  • All operations are performed with routine calls
  • Basic definitions in
    • mpi.h for C
    • mpif.h for Fortran 77 and 90
    • MPI module for Fortran 90 (optional)

• First Program:
  • Create 4 processes in a simple MPI job
  • Write out process number
  • Write out some variables (illustrate separate name space)
Finding Out About the Environment

• Two important questions that arise early in a parallel program are:
  • How many processes are participating in this computation?
  • Which one am I?
• MPI provides functions to answer these questions:
  • `MPI_Comm_size` reports the number of processes.
  • `MPI_Comm_rank` reports the rank, a number between 0 and size-1, identifying the calling process.
#include "mpi.h"
#include <stdio.h>

int main( int argc, char *argv[] )
{
    int rank, size;
    MPI_Init( &argc, &argv );
    MPI_Comm_rank( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank );
    MPI_Comm_size( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &size );
    printf( "I am %d of %d\n", rank, size );
    MPI_Finalize();
    return 0;
}
Notes on Hello World

• All MPI programs begin with MPI_Init and end with MPI_Finalize
• MPI_COMM_WORLD is defined by mpi.h (in C) or mpif.h (in Fortran) and designates all processes in the MPI “job”
• Each statement executes independently in each process
  • including the printf/print statements
• I/O not part of MPI-1 but is in MPI-2
  • print and write to standard output or error not part of either MPI-1 or MPI-2
  • output order is undefined (may be interleaved by character, line, or blocks of characters),
• The MPI-1 Standard does not specify how to run an MPI program, but many implementations provide
  mpirun -np 4 a.out
MPI Basic Send/Receive

• We need to fill in the details in

  Process 0
  Send(data)
  
  Process 1
  Receive(data)

• Things that need specifying:
  • How will “data” be described?
  • How will processes be identified?
  • How will the receiver recognize/screen messages?
  • What will it mean for these operations to complete?
Some Basic Concepts

• Processes can be collected into **groups**
• Each message is sent in a **context**, and must be received in the same context
  - Provides necessary support for libraries
• A group and context together form a **communicator**
• A process is identified by its **rank** in the group associated with a communicator
• There is a default communicator whose group contains all initial processes, called **MPI_COMM_WORLD**
MPI Datatypes

• The data in a message to send or receive is described by a triple (address, count, datatype), where

• An MPI datatype is recursively defined as:
  - predefined, corresponding to a data type from the language (e.g., MPI_INT, MPI_DOUBLE)
  - a contiguous array of MPI datatypes
  - a strided block of datatypes
  - an indexed array of blocks of datatypes
  - an arbitrary structure of datatypes

• There are MPI functions to construct custom datatypes, in particular ones for subarrays
MPI Tags

• Messages are sent with an accompanying user-defined integer tag, to assist the receiving process in identifying the message
• Messages can be screened at the receiving end by specifying a specific tag, or not screened by specifying MPI_ANY_TAG as the tag in a receive
• Some non-MPI message-passing systems have called tags “message types”. MPI calls them tags to avoid confusion with datatypes
MPI Basic (Blocking) Send

MPI SEND(start, count, datatype, dest, tag, comm)
- The message buffer is described by (start, count, datatype).
- The target process is specified by dest (rank within comm).
- When this function returns, the buffer (A) can be reused, but the message may not have been received by the target process.

MPI_RECV(start, count, datatype, source, tag, comm, status)
- Waits until a matching (source and tag) message is received.
- source is rank in communicator specified by comm, or MPI_ANY_SOURCE.
- tag is a tag to be matched on or MPI_ANY_TAG.
- Receiving fewer than count is OK, but receiving more is an error.
- status contains further information (e.g. size of message).

MPI_Send( A, 10, MPI_DOUBLE, 1, … )  
MPI_Recv( B, 20, MPI_DOUBLE, 0, … )
A Simple MPI Program

```c
#include "mpi.h"
#include <stdio.h>
int main( int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int rank, buf;
    MPI_Status status;
    MPI_Init(&argv, &argc);
    MPI_Comm_rank( MPI_COMM_WORLD, &rank );

    /* Process 0 sends and Process 1 receives */
    if (rank == 0) {
        buf = 123456;
        MPI_Send( &buf, 1, MPI_INT, 1, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
    }
    else if (rank == 1) {
        MPI_Recv( &buf, 1, MPI_INT, 0, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD,
                   &status );
        printf( "Received %d\n", buf );
    }

    MPI_Finalize();
    return 0;
}
```

Note: Fortran and C++ versions
are in online lecture notes
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Collective Operations in MPI

- Collective operations are called by all processes in a communicator
- `MPI_BCAST` distributes data from one process (the root) to all others in a communicator
- `MPI_REDUCE` combines data from all processes in communicator and returns it to one process
  - Operators include: `MPI_MAX`, `MPI_MIN`, `MPI_PROD`, `MPI_SUM`,…
- In many numerical algorithms, `SEND/RECEIVE` can be replaced by `BCAST/REDUCE`, improving both simplicity and efficiency
  - Can use a more efficient algorithm than you might choose for simplicity (e.g., P-1 send/receive pairs for broadcast or reduce)
  - May use special hardware support on some systems
Example: PI in C - 1

```c
#include "mpi.h"
#include <math.h>
    #include <stdio.h>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {

    int done = 0, n, myid, numprocs, i, rc;
    double PI25DT = 3.141592653589793238462643;
    double mypi, pi, h, sum, x, a;
    MPI_Init(&argc,&argv);
    MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&numprocs);
    MPI_Comm_rank(MPI_COMM_WORLD,&myid);
    while (!done) {
        if (myid == 0) {
            printf("Enter the # of intervals: (0 quits) ");
            scanf("%d",&n);
        }
        MPI_Bcast(&n, 1, MPI_INT, 0, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
        if (n == 0) break;
```
h = 1.0 / (double) n;
sum = 0.0;
for (i = myid + 1; i <= n; i += numprocs) {
    x = h * ((double)i - 0.5);
    sum += 4.0 / (1.0 + x*x);
}
mypi = h * sum;
MPI_Reduce(&mypi, &pi, 1, MPI_DOUBLE, MPI_SUM, 0,
            MPI_COMM_WORLD);
if (myid == 0)
    printf("pi is approximately %.16f, Error is .16f\n",
            pi, fabs(pi - PI25DT));
}
MPI_Finalize();
return 0;
MPI Collective Routines

• Many Routines: Allgather, Allgatherv, Allreduce, Alltoall, Alltoallv, Bcast, Gather, Gatherv, Reduce, Reduce_scatter, Scan, Scatter, Scatterv

• All versions deliver results to all participating processes.

• V versions allow the hunks to have different sizes.

• Allreduce, Reduce, Reduce_scatter, and Scan take both built-in and user-defined combiner functions.

• MPI-2 adds Alltoallw, Exscan, intercommunicator versions of most routines
Buffers

- Message passing has a small set of primitives, but there are subtleties
  - Buffering and deadlock
  - Deterministic execution
  - Performance
- When you send data, where does it go? One possibility is:

```
Process 0       Process 1

User data → Local buffer → the network → Local buffer → User data
```
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Avoiding Buffering

• It is better to avoid copies:

This requires that `MPI_Send` wait on delivery, or that `MPI_Send` return before transfer is complete, and we wait later.
Sources of Deadlocks

- Send a large message from process 0 to process 1
  - If there is insufficient storage at the destination, the send must wait for the user to provide the memory space (through a receive)
- What happens with this code?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process 0</th>
<th>Process 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send(1)</td>
<td>Send(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv(1)</td>
<td>Recv(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This is called “unsafe” because it depends on the availability of system buffers in which to store the data sent until it can be received
Some Solutions to the “unsafe” Problem

• Order the operations more carefully:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process 0</th>
<th>Process 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Send(1)</td>
<td>Recv(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv(1)</td>
<td>Send(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Supply receive buffer at same time as send:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process 0</th>
<th>Process 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sendrecv(1)</td>
<td>Sendrecv(0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More Solutions to the “unsafe” Problem

- Supply own space as buffer for send

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process 0</th>
<th>Process 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bsend (1)</td>
<td>Bsend (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recv (1)</td>
<td>Recv (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Use non-blocking operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process 0</th>
<th>Process 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isend (1)</td>
<td>Isend (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irecv (1)</td>
<td>Irecv (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitall</td>
<td>Waitall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MPI’s Non-blocking Operations

• Non-blocking operations return (immediately) “request handles” that can be tested and waited on:

```c
MPI_Request request;
MPI_Status status;
MPI_Isend(start, count, datatype,
        dest, tag, comm, &request);
MPI_Irecv(start, count, datatype,
        dest, tag, comm, &request);
MPI_Wait(&request, &status);
(each request must be Waited on)
```

• One can also test without waiting:
```c
MPI_Test(&request, &flag, &status);
```
Other MPI Point-to-Point Features

- It is sometimes desirable to wait on multiple requests:
  ```c
  MPI_Waitall(count, array_of_requests, array_of_statuses)
  ```
- Also `MPI_Waitany`, `MPI_Waitsome`, and test versions
- MPI provides multiple *modes* for sending messages:
  - Synchronous mode (`MPI_Ssend`): the send does not complete until a matching receive has begun. (Unsafe programs deadlock.)
  - Buffered mode (`MPI_Bsend`): user supplies a buffer to the system for its use. (User allocates enough memory to avoid deadlock.)
  - Ready mode (`MPI_Rsend`): user guarantees that a matching receive has been posted. (Allows access to fast protocols; undefined behavior if matching receive not posted.)
Synchronization

• Global synchronization is available in MPI
  • C: `MPI_Barrier( comm )`
  • Fortran: `MPI_Barrier( comm, ierr )`
  • C++: `comm.Barrier();`

• Blocks until all processes in the group of the communicator `comm` call it.

• Almost never required to make a message passing program correct
  • Useful in measuring performance and load balancing
MPI – The de facto standard

MPI has become the de facto standard for parallel computing using message passing

Pros and Cons of standards

- MPI created finally a standard for applications development in the HPC community → portability
- The MPI standard is a least common denominator building on mid-80s technology, so may discourage innovation

Programming Model reflects hardware!

“I am not sure how I will program a Petaflops computer, but I am sure that I will need MPI somewhere” – HDS 2001
MPI References

• The Standard itself:
  • at http://www.mpi-forum.org
  • All MPI official releases, in both postscript and HTML

• Other information on Web:
  • at http://www.mcs.anl.gov/mpi
  • pointers to lots of stuff, including other talks and tutorials, a FAQ, other MPI pages
Books on MPI


• Designing and Building Parallel Programs, by Ian Foster, Addison-Wesley, 1995.

• Parallel Programming with MPI, by Peter Pacheco, Morgan-Kaufmann, 1997.
Partitioned Global Address Space Languages

One-Sided Communication
What’s Wrong with MPI Everywhere

• We can run 1 MPI process per core
  • This works now (for CMPs) and will work for a while
• How long will it continue working?
  • 4 - 8 cores? Probably. 128 - 1024 cores? Probably not.
  • Depends on performance expectations -- more on this later
• What is the problem?
  • Latency: some copying required by semantics
  • Memory utilization: partitioning data for separate address space requires some replication
    • How big is your per core subgrid? At 10x10x10, over 1/2 of the points are surface points, probably replicated
  • Memory bandwidth: extra state means extra bandwidth
  • Weak scaling: success model for the “cluster era;” will not be for the many core era -- not enough memory per core
  • Heterogeneity: MPI per CUDA thread-block?
• Advantage: no new apps work; modest infrastructure work (multicore-optimized MPI)
Current Implementations of PGAS Languages

• A successful language/library must run everywhere
  Free download: http://upc.lbl.gov

• UPC
  • Commercial compilers available on Cray, SGI, HP machines
  • Open source compiler from LBNL/UCB (source-to-source)
  • Open source gcc-based compiler from Intrepid

• CAF
  • Commercial compiler available on Cray machines
  • Open source compiler available from Rice

• Titanium
  • Open source compiler from UCB runs on most machines

• DARPA HPCS Languages
  • Cray Chapel, IBM X10, Sun Fortress
  • Use PGAS memory abstraction, but have dynamic threading
  • Recent additions to parallel language landscape → no mature compilers for clusters yet
Unified Parallel C (UPC)

Overview and Design Philosophy

- Unified Parallel C (UPC) is:
  - An explicit parallel extension of ANSI C
  - A partitioned global address space language
  - Sometimes called a GAS language

- Similar to the C language philosophy
  - Programmers are clever and careful, and may need to get close to hardware
    - to get performance, but
    - can get in trouble
  - Concise and efficient syntax

- Common and familiar syntax and semantics for parallel C with simple extensions to ANSI C
- Based on ideas in Split-C, AC, and PCP
UPC Execution Model
UPC Execution Model

• Threads working independently in a SPMD fashion
  • Number of threads specified at compile-time or run-time; available as program variable THREADS
  • MYTHREAD specifies thread index (0..THREADS-1)
  • upc_barrier is a global synchronization: all wait
• There is a form of parallel loop that we will see later

• There are two compilation modes
  • Static Threads mode:
    • THREADS is specified at compile time by the user
    • The program may use THREADS as a compile-time constant
  • Dynamic threads mode:
    • Compiled code may be run with varying numbers of threads
Hello World in UPC

• Any legal C program is also a legal UPC program
• If you compile and run it as UPC with P threads, it will run P copies of the program.
• Using this fact, plus the identifiers from the previous slides, we can parallel hello world:

```c
#include <upc.h> /* needed for UPC extensions */
#include <stdio.h>

main() {
    printf("Thread %d of %d: hello UPC world\n", 
            MYTHREAD, THREADS);
}
```
Example: Monte Carlo Pi Calculation

- Estimate Pi by throwing darts at a unit square
- Calculate percentage that fall in the unit circle
  - Area of square = $r^2 = 1$
  - Area of circle quadrant = $\frac{1}{4} \pi r^2 = \pi/4$
- Randomly throw darts at x,y positions
- If $x^2 + y^2 < 1$, then point is inside circle
- Compute ratio:
  - # points inside / # points total
  - $\pi = 4 \times $ratio
Pi in UPC

- Independent estimates of pi:

```c
main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int i, hits, trials = 0;
    double pi;

    if (argc != 2) trials = 1000000;
    else trials = atoi(argv[1]);

    srand(MYTHREAD*17);

    for (i=0; i < trials; i++) hits += hit();
    pi = 4.0*hits/trials;
    printf("PI estimated to %f.", pi);
}
```

- Each thread gets its own copy of these variables
- Each thread can use input arguments
- Initialize random in math library
- Each thread calls “hit” separately
Helper Code for Pi in UPC

• Required includes:
  
  ```c
  #include <stdio.h>
  #include <math.h>
  #include <upc.h>
  ```

• Function to throw dart and calculate where it hits:
  
  ```c
  int hit(){
      int const rand_max = 0xFFFFFFFF;
      double x = ((double) rand()) / RAND_MAX;
      double y = ((double) rand()) / RAND_MAX;
      if ((x*x + y*y) <= 1.0) {
          return(1);
      } else {
          return(0);
      }
  }
  ```
Private vs. Shared Variables in UPC

• Normal C variables and objects are allocated in the private memory space for each thread.
• Shared variables are allocated only once, with thread 0
  ```
  shared int ours; // use sparingly: performance
  int mine;
  ```
• Shared variables may not have dynamic lifetime: may not occur in a function definition, except as static. Why?
Pi in UPC: Shared Memory Style

• Parallel computing of pi, but with a bug

```c
shared int hits;
main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int i, my_trials = 0;
    int trials = atoi(argv[1]);
    my_trials = (trials + THREADS - 1)/THREADS;
    srand(MYTHREAD*17);
    for (i=0; i < my_trials; i++)
        hits += hit();
    upc_barrier;
    if (MYTHREAD == 0) {
        printf("PI estimated to %f.", 4.0*hits/trials);
    }
}
```

What is the problem with this program?
Shared Arrays Are Cyclic By Default

- Shared scalars always live in thread 0
- Shared arrays are spread over the threads
- Shared array elements are spread across the threads

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{shared int } & \ x[\text{THREADS}] \quad /* \ 1 \ element \ per \ thread */ \\
\text{shared int } & \ y[3][\text{THREADS}] \quad /* \ 3 \ elements \ per \ thread */ \\
\text{shared int } & \ z[3][3] \quad /* \ 2 \ or \ 3 \ elements \ per \ thread */
\end{align*}
\]

- In the pictures below, assume THREADS = 4
  - Red elts have affinity to thread 0

Think of linearized C array, then map in round-robin
As a 2D array, y is logically blocked by columns
z is not
Pi in UPC: Shared Array Version

• Alternative fix to the race condition
• Have each thread update a separate counter:
  • But do it in a shared array
  • Have one thread compute sum

```c
shared int all_hits [THREADS];
main(int argc, char **argv) {
  ...
  for (i=0; i < my_trials; i++)
    all_hits[MYTHREAD] += hit();
  ... declarations an initialization code omitted
  upc_barrier;
  if (MYTHREAD == 0) {
    for (i=0; i < THREADS; i++)
      hits += all_hits[i];
    printf("PI estimated to %f.\n", 4.0*hits/trials);
  }
}
```

all_hits is shared by all processors, just as hits was
update element with local affinity
UPC
Synchronization
UPC Global Synchronization

- UPC has two basic forms of barriers:
  - Barrier: block until all other threads arrive
    ```
    upc_barrier
    ```
  - Split-phase barriers
    ```
    upc_notify;  // this thread is ready for barrier
    do computation unrelated to barrier
    upc_wait;    // wait for others to be ready
    ```

- Optional labels allow for debugging
  ```
  #define MERGE_BARRIER 12
  if (MYTHREAD%2 == 0) {
      ...
      upc_barrier MERGE_BARRIER;
  } else {
      ...
      upc_barrier MERGE_BARRIER;
  }
  ```
Synchronization - Locks

• UPC Locks are an opaque type:
  `upc_lock_t`

• Locks must be allocated before use:
  `upc_lock_t *upc_all_lock_alloc(void);`
  allocates 1 lock, pointer to all threads
  `upc_lock_t *upc_global_lock_alloc(void);`
  allocates 1 lock, pointer to one thread

• To use a lock:
  `void upc_lock(upc_lock_t *l)`
  `void upc_unlock(upc_lock_t *l)`
  use at start and end of critical region

• Locks can be freed when not in use
  `void upc_lock_free(upc_lock_t *ptr);`
Pi in UPC: Shared Memory Style

- Parallel computing of pi, without the bug

```c
shared int hits;

main(int argc, char **argv) {
    int i, my_hits, my_trials = 0;
    upc_lock_t *hit_lock = upc_all_lock_alloc();
    int trials = atoi(argv[1]);
    my_trials = (trials + THREADS - 1)/THREADS;
    srand(MYTHREAD*17);
    for (i=0; i < my_trials; i++)
        my_hits += hit();
    upc_lock(hit_lock);
    hits += my_hits;
    upc_unlock(hit_lock);
    upc_barrier;
    if (MYTHREAD == 0)
        printf("PI: %f", 4.0*hits/trials);
}
```

- Create a lock
- Accumulate hits locally
- Accumulate across threads
Recap: Private vs. Shared Variables in UPC

- We saw several kinds of variables in the pi example
  - Private scalars (my_hits)
  - Shared scalars (hits)
  - Shared arrays (all_hits)
  - Shared locks (hit_lock)
UPC Collectives
UPC Collectives in General

• UPC collectives interface is in the language spec:
  • http://upc.lbl.gov/docs/user/upc_spec_1.2.pdf

• It contains typical functions:
  • Data movement: broadcast, scatter, gather, …
  • Computational: reduce, prefix, …

• General interface has synchronization modes:
  • Avoid over-synchronizing (barrier before/after)
  • Data being collected may be read/written by any thread simultaneously

• Simple interface for scalar values (int, double, …)
  • Berkeley UPC value-based collectives
  • Works with any compiler
  • http://upc.lbl.gov/docs/user/README-collectivev.txt
Pi in UPC: Data Parallel Style

• The previous version of Pi works, but is not scalable:
  • On a large # of threads, the locked region will be a bottleneck
• Use a reduction for better scalability

```c
#include <bupc_collectivev.h>

// shared int hits;
main(int argc, char **argv) {

... 

for (i=0; i < my_trials; i++)
    my_hits += hit();

my_hits = bupc_allv_reduce(int, my_hits, 0, UPC_ADD);

// upc_barrier;

if (MYTHREAD == 0)
    printf("PI: %f", 4.0*my_hits/trials);
}
```

Berkeley collectives
no shared variables

barrier implied by collective
Work Distribution
Using upc forall
Example: Vector Addition

• Questions about parallel vector additions:
  • How to layout data (here it is cyclic)
  • Which processor does what (here it is “owner computes”)

/* vadd.c */
#include <upc_relaxed.h>
#define N 100*THREADS

shared int v1[N], v2[N], sum[N];
void main() {
  int i;
  for(i=0; i<N; i++)
    if (MYTHREAD == i%THREADS)
      sum[i]=v1[i]+v2[i];
}
The idiom in the previous slide is very common
  • Loop over all; work on those owned by this proc
• UPC adds a special type of loop
  \begin{verbatim}
  upc_forall(init; test; loop; affinity)
  statement;
  \end{verbatim}
• Programmer indicates the iterations are independent
  • Undefined if there are dependencies across threads
• Affinity expression indicates which iterations to run on each thread.
  It may have one of two types:
  • Integer: \texttt{affinity\%THREADS is MYTHREAD}
  • Pointer: \texttt{upc_threadof(affinity) is MYTHREAD}
• Syntactic sugar for loop on previous slide
  • \textit{Some} compilers \textit{may} do better than this, e.g.,
    \begin{verbatim}
    for(i=MYTHREAD; i<N; i+=THREADS)
    \end{verbatim}
  • Rather than having all threads iterate N times:
    \begin{verbatim}
    for(i=0; i<N; i++) if (MYTHREAD == i\%THREADS)
    \end{verbatim}
Vector Addition with upc_forall

- The `vadd` example can be rewritten as follows
  - Equivalent code could use “&sum[i]” for affinity
  - The code would be correct but slow if the affinity expression were `i+1` rather than `i`.

```c
#define N 100*THREADS

shared int v1[N], v2[N], sum[N];

void main() {
    int i;
    upc_forall(i=0; i<N; i++; i)
        sum[i]=v1[i]+v2[i];
}
```

The cyclic data distribution may perform poorly on some machines
Distributed Arrays in UPC
If this code were doing nearest neighbor averaging (3pt stencil) the cyclic layout would be the worst possible layout.

Instead, want a blocked layout

Vector addition example can be rewritten as follows using a blocked layout

```c
#define N 100*THREADS
shared int [*] v1[N], v2[N], sum[N];

void main() {
    int i;
    upc_forall(i=0; i<N; i++; &sum[i])
        sum[i]=v1[i]+v2[i];
}
```

blocked layout
Layouts in General

- All non-array objects have affinity with thread zero.
- Array layouts are controlled by layout specifiers:
  - Empty (cyclic layout)
  - [*] (blocked layout)
  - [0] or [] (indefinite layout, all on 1 thread)
  - [b] or [b1][b2]...[bn] = [b1*b2*...bn] (fixed block size)
- The affinity of an array element is defined in terms of:
  - block size, a compile-time constant
  - and THREADS.
- Element i has affinity with thread
  - \((i / \text{block\_size}) \mod \text{THREADS}\)
- In 2D and higher, linearize the elements as in a C representation, and then use above mapping
Pointers to Shared vs. Arrays

- In the C tradition, arrays can be accessed through pointers.
- Here is the vector addition example using pointers.

```c
#define N 100*THREADS
shared int v1[N], v2[N], sum[N];
void main() {
    int i;
    shared int *p1, *p2;
    p1 = v1; p2 = v2;
    for (i=0; i<N; i++, p1++, p2++)
        if (i % THREADS == MYTHREAD)
            sum[i] = *p1 + *p2;
}
```
int *p1;    /* private pointer to local memory */
shared int *p2; /* private pointer to shared space */
int *shared p3; /* shared pointer to local memory */
shared int  *shared p4; /* shared pointer to shared space */

Pointers to shared often require more storage and are more costly to dereference; they may refer to local or remote memory.
Dynamic Memory Allocation in UPC

- Dynamic memory allocation of shared memory is available in UPC
- Non-collective (called independently)
  
  \[
  \text{shared void } * \text{upc\_global\_alloc(size\_t nblocks,}
  \text{size\_t nbytes);} \\
  \]

  - `nb`locks: number of blocks
  - `nby`tes: block size

- Collective (called together; all threads get same pointer)
  
  \[
  \text{shared void } * \text{upc\_all\_alloc(size\_t nblocks,}
  \text{size\_t nbytes);} \\
  \]

- Freeing dynamically allocated memory in shared space
  
  \[
  \text{void upc\_free(shared void } * \text{ptr);} \\
  \]
Performance of UPC
PGAS Languages have Performance Advantages

Strategy for acceptance of a new language
• Make it run faster than anything else

Keys to high performance
• Parallelism:
  • Scaling the number of processors
• Maximize single node performance
  • Generate friendly code or use tuned libraries (BLAS, FFTW, etc.)
• Avoid (unnecessary) communication cost
  • Latency, bandwidth, overhead
  • Berkeley UPC and Titanium use GASNet communication layer
• Avoid unnecessary delays due to dependencies
  • Load balance; Pipeline algorithmic dependencies
One-Sided vs Two-Sided

one-sided put message

- Address
- Data payload

network interface

host CPU

memory

two-sided message

- Message id
- Data payload

A one-sided put/get message can be handled directly by a network interface with RDMA support
  - Avoid interrupting the CPU or storing data from CPU (preposts)
A two-sided messages needs to be matched with a receive to identify memory address to put data
  - Offloaded to Network Interface in networks like Quadrics
  - Need to download match tables to interface (from host)
  - Ordering requirements on messages can also hinder bandwidth
One-Sided vs. Two-Sided: Practice

- InfiniBand: GASNet vapi-conduit and OSU MVAPICH 0.9.5
- Half power point (N $^{1/2}$) differs by *one order of magnitude*
- This is not a criticism of the implementation!

Joint work with Paul Hargrove and Dan Bonachea

NERSC Jacquard machine with Opteron processors
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GASNet: Portability *and* High-Performance

GASNet better for latency across machines
GASNet: Portability *and* High-Performance

GASNet at least as high (comparable) for large messages
GASNet excels at mid-range sizes: important for overlap
Case Study: NAS FT in UPC

- Perform FFT on a 3D Grid
  - 1D FFTs in each dimension, 3 phases
  - Transpose after first 2 for locality
  - Bisection bandwidth-limited
    - Problem as #procs grows

- Three approaches:
  - **Exchange:**
    - wait for 2nd dim FFTs to finish, send 1 message per processor pair
  - **Slab:**
    - wait for chunk of rows destined for 1 proc, send when ready
  - **Pencil:**
    - send each row as it completes
NAS FT Variants Performance Summary

- Slab is always best for MPI; small message cost too high
- Pencil is always best for UPC; more overlap

.5 Tflops

Joint work with Chris Bell, Rajesh Nishtala, Dan Bonachea
GEM: A new “shifter algorithm” using a combination of MPI, OpenMP, and CAF gives significant performance improvement on 130K cores.

Robert Preissl, Whichman, Long, Shalf, Ethier, Koniges, SC11 Best Paper Nominee

Take-Away: Requires interoperability of MPI, OpenMP, and PGAS.
Beyond the SPMD Model: Dynamic Threads

• UPC uses a static threads (SPMD) programming model
  • No dynamic load balancing built-in, although some examples (Delaunay mesh generation) of building it on top
  • Berkeley UPC model extends basic memory semantics (remote read/write) with active messages
  • AM have limited functionality (no messages except acks) to avoid deadlock in the network

• A more dynamic runtime would have many uses
  • Application load imbalance, OS noise, fault tolerance

• Two extremes are well-studied
  • Dynamic load balancing (e.g., random stealing) without locality
  • Static parallelism (with threads = processors) with locality

• Can we combine both in a general-purpose way?

Joint work with Parry Husbands
The Parallel Case

Panel factorizations involve communication for pivoting.

Completed part of $L$:
- $A(i,j)$
- $A(j,i)$
- $A(j,k)$

Completed part of $U$:
- $A(i,k)$

Trailing matrix to be updated:
- $A(j,k)$

Panel being factored.

Blocks 2D block-cyclic distributed

Matrix-matrix multiplication used here. Can be coalesced.

8/16/2011
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Parallel Tasks in LU

- Implementation uses 3 levels of threading:
  - UPC threads (SPMD), user-level non-preemptive threads, BLAS threads

- Theoretical and practical problem: Memory deadlock
  - Not enough memory for all tasks at once. (Each update needs two temporary blocks, a green and blue, to run.)
  - If updates are scheduled too soon, you will run out of memory
  - If updates are scheduled too late, critical path will be delayed.
• Faster than ScaLAPACK due to less synchronization
• Comparable to MPI HPL (numbers from HPCC database)
• Large scaling of UPC code on Itanium/Quadrics (Thunder)
  • 2.2 TFlops on 512p and 4.4 TFlops on 1024p

Joint work with Parry Husbands
Utilization Comparison

- Synchronous (above) vs. asynchronous (below) schedule
- SGI Altix Itanium 2 1.4GHz, n=12,800, process grid = 2x4, block size = 400
- Grey blocks = matrix multiplication
- Black blocks = panel factorization
UPC Group (Past and Present)

- Filip Blagojevic
- Dan Bonachea
- Paul Hargrove (Runtime Lead)
- Steve Hofmeyer
- Costin Iancu (Compiler Lead)
- Seung-Jai Min
- Kathy Yelick (Project Lead)
- Yili Zheng

Former:
- Christian Bell
- Parry Husbands
- Rajesh Nishtala
- Michael Welcome

http://upc.lbl.gov

Compiler, runtime, GASNet available here.
Supercomputing Ecosystem (2006)

Commercial Off The Shelf technology (COTS)

2010

PCs and desktop systems are no longer the economic driver.

Architecture and programming model are about to change

“Clusters”

12 years of legacy MPI applications base
PGAS Languages for Manycore

- PGAS memory are a good fit to machines with explicitly managed memory (local store)
  - Global address space implemented as DMA reads/writes
  - New “vertical” partition of memory needed for on/off chip, e.g., upc_offchip_alloc
  - Non-blocking features of UPC put/get are useful
- SPMD execution model needs to be adapted to heterogeneity
Hierarchical PGAS Memory Model

- A global address space for hierarchical machines may have multiple kinds of pointers
- These can be encode by programmers in type system or hidden, e.g., all global or only local/global
- This partitioning is about pointer span, not control / parallelism
Each “processor” has two shared segments
Decouples the memory model from execution models; one thread per CPU, vs. one thread for all CPU and GPU “cores”
Caveat: type system and therefore interfaces blow up with different parts of address space
GASNet GPU Extension Performance

Latency

Bandwidth
Programming to Machine Structure in an Abstract Manner

• Provide method to query machine structure at runtime

Team \( T = Ti.defaultTeam(); \)
Summary and Discussion

• Message Passing
  • MPI is the de facto programming model for large-scale machines
  • Was developed as a standardization of “known” ideas (but not without controversy)
  • MPI 3.0 standards effort is underway now: you can join!
    • Looking at one-sided communication again
  • Race conditions are relatively rare

• Partitioned Global Address Space Language
  • Offer a compromise on performance and ease of programming
  • Match both shared and distributed memory
  • Demonstrated scalability (like MPI), portability (through GASNet + C)
  • UPC is an example, others include Co-Array Fortran, Titanium (Java)
  • The DARPA HPCS languages: X10, Chapel, Fortress

• Productivity
  • In the eye of the programmer
  • Trade-off: races vs packing/unpacking code