

Avoiding Communication in Two-Sided Krylov Subspace Methods

Research supported by Microsoft (Award #024263) and Intel (Award #024894) funding by U.C. Discovery (Award #DIG07-10227). Additional support comes from Par Lab affiliates National Instruments, NEC, Nokia, NVIDIA, Samsung, and Sun Microsystems.

Motivation □ Krylov Subspace Methods are commonly used for solving linear system □ Standard implementations are communication-bound due to required SpMV and orthogonalization in every iteration □ Solution: rearrange algorithms to perform s iterations at a time without communicating (s-step methods) □ SpMV in each iteration is replaced with a call to the Matrix Powers Kernel, which performs s SpMVs while reading the matrix only once □ Used to generate s basis vectors for the Krylov Subspace $\mathcal{K}_k(A, v) = span\{v, Av, ..., A^{k-1}v\}$ Previous Work □ Communication-Avoiding Kernels □ Matrix Powers Kernel (one matrix, one input vector) □ Tall-Skinny QR One-sided Krylov Subspace Methods

- □ Conjugate Gradient [Hoemmen, 2010]
- □ GMRES [MHDY09]
- □ Lanczos [Hoemmen, 2010]
- □ Two-sided Krylov Subspace Methods

□ BiCG

□ Problem: BiCG is unstable in practice

New CA-KSMs

Preliminary Work

- □ 2-Term recurrence version of BiCG
- □ Conjugate Gradient Squared (CGS)
- □ Main result: CA-BiCGStab:

Computation and Storage Costs	
Matrix powers	3 x A
Storage	3Ns + 5N + O(s ²)
Dense Work	O(Ns ² + s ³)

- □ Original method formulated by van der Vorst. 1992) □ Variation of CGS, remedies irregular convergence patterns
- □ Polynomial defined recursively at each step acts as a smoother

□ smoothes against previous residual

□ CA Formulation □ 2-term recurrence, similar to CGS and BiCG

Nick Knight and Erin Carson





□ Figures: Convergence Results for dw2048 matrix for s=2 and s=20 □ Shown for 2-term recurrence versions of BiCG, CGS, and BiCGStab. Black line indicates standard (Matlab) implementation. We see here that the BiCGStab method is indeed more stable for higher s values, especially using the monomial basis □ Why? Too much roundoff error in Newton basis?



□ Figures: Convergence Results for young3c matrix for s=2 and s=10 □ Shown for 2-term recurrence versions of BiCG, CGS, and BiCGStab. Black line indicates standard (Matlab) implementation. We see here that the BiCGStab method is again more stable (monomial basis follows standard iterates up until convergence) □ The first plot indicates that although BiCGStab is, in general, more stable, the optimal method to use is problem dependent (BiCG does the best)



Preconditioning

- □ Naïve preconditioning approach: s SpMVs, s solves
- □ Problem: requires a different approach/implementation for each type of preconditioner!
- □ Current algorithms
 - Polynomial preconditioners (Saad, Toledo)
 - \square M is polynomial in A incorporated into Newton basis
 - □ CA-Left-preconditioning (Hoemmen, 2010)
 - □ Preconditioners and matrices with low rank-off diagonal blocks, same sparsity structure
 - \square 1 + o(1) more messages than single SpMV, 1 preconditioner solve

Future Work

- □ Finish BiCGStab(1)
 - \square When s > 8, normal equations become ill-conditioned □ Use rank-deficient least squares?
- □ Extension to other classes of preconditioners
- □ Chebyshev basis for matrix powers
- □ Based on spectrum of A. Could provide more stability
- □ Parallel C Implementations for performance testing
- □ Tests with restarting and extended precision, varying s values
 - □ could help with stability and convergence